

Tree search algorithms

Basic idea:

 Exploration of state space by generating successors of already-explored states (a.k.a.~expanding states).

Every states is evaluated: is it a goal state?

Tree search example





Tree search example





Tree search example



function TREE-SEARCH(problem, strategy) returns a solution, or failure
initialize the search tree using the initial state of problem
loop do

if there are no candidates for expansion then return failure choose a leaf node for expansion according to *strategy* if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution else expand the node and add the resulting nodes to the search tree

Best-first search

- Idea: use an evaluation function f(n) for each node
 - f(n) provides an estimate for the total cost.
 - \rightarrow Expand the node n with smallest f(n).

Implementation:

Order the nodes in fringe increasing order of cost.

Romania with straight-line dist.



A* search

- Idea: avoid expanding paths that are already expensive
- Evaluation function f(n) = g(n) + h(n)
- g(n) = cost so far to reach n
- *h(n)* = estimated cost from *n* to goal
- f(n) = estimated total cost of path through n to goal
- Best First search has f(n)=h(n)
- Uniform Cost search has f(n)=g(n)

Admissible heuristics

- A heuristic h(n) is admissible if for every node n, h(n) ≤ h*(n), where h*(n) is the true cost to reach the goal state from n.
- An admissible heuristic never overestimates the cost to reach the goal, i.e., it is optimistic
- Example: h_{SLD}(n) (never overestimates the actual road distance)
- Theorem: If h(n) is admissible, A* using TREE-SEARCH is optimal

Dominance

- If $h_2(n) \ge h_1(n)$ for all n (both admissible)
- then h_2 dominates h_1
- *h*₂ is better for search: it is guaranteed to expand less or equal nr of nodes.
- Typical search costs (average number of nodes expanded):

Relaxed problems

- A problem with fewer restrictions on the actions is called a relaxed problem
- The cost of an optimal solution to a relaxed problem is an admissible heuristic for the original problem
- If the rules of the 8-puzzle are relaxed so that a tile can move anywhere, then h₁(n) gives the shortest solution
- If the rules are relaxed so that a tile can move to any adjacent square, then h₂(n) gives the shortest solution

Consistent heuristics

 A heuristic is consistent if for every node n, every successor n' of n generated by any action a,

 $h(n) \leq c(n,a,n') + h(n')$

If h is consistent, we have



 $\begin{array}{ll} f(n') &= g(n') + h(n') & (by \ def.) \\ &= g(n) + c(n,a,n') + h(n') & (g(n')=g(n)+c(n.a.n')) \\ &\geq g(n) + h(n) = f(n) & (consistency) \\ f(n') &\geq f(n) & & \\ \end{array}$

It's the triangle inequality !

- i.e., f(n) is non-decreasing along any path.
- Theorem: keeps all checked nodes in memory to avoid repeated
 If h(n) is consistent, A* using GRAPH-SEARCH is optimal states

















A^{*} search example Arad (Timisoara) Sibiu Zerind 447=118+329 449=75+374 (Rimnicu Vilcea) Arad Fagaras Oradea 646=280+366 671=291+380 Sibiu Bucharest Pitesti Sibiu Craiova 526=366+160 417=317+100 553=300+253 591=338+253 450 = 450 + 0





Properties of A*

- Complete? Yes (unless there are infinitely many nodes with f ≤ f(G), i.e. step-cost > ε)
 Time/Space? Exponential: b^d except if: |h(n) h^{*}(n)|≤ O(log h^{*}(n))
- Optimal? Yes
- Optimally Efficient: Yes (no algorithm with the same heuristic is guaranteed to expand fewer nodes)

Memory Bounded Heuristic Search: Recursive BFS

- How can we solve the memory problem for A* search?
- Idea: Try something like depth first search, but let's not forget everything about the branches we have partially explored.
- We remember the best f-value we have found so far in the branch we are deleting.



RBFS changes its mind very often in practice.

This is because the f=g+h become more accurate (less optimistic) as we approach the goal. Hence, higher level nodes have smaller f-values and will be explored first.

Problem: We should keep in memory whatever we can.



Simple Memory Bounded A*

- This is like A*, but when memory is full we delete the worst node (largest f-value).
- Like RBFS, we remember the best descendent in the branch we delete.
- If there is a tie (equal f-values) we delete the oldest nodes first.
- simple-MBA* finds the optimal *reachable* solution given the memory constraint.
- Time can still be exponential.

A Solution is not reachable if a single path from root to goal does not fit into memory