Dimensionality reduction

CISC 5800

Professor Daniel Leeds

Opening note on dimensional differences

Each dimension corresponds to a feature/measurement

Magnitude differences for each measurement (e.g., animals):

- x_1 – speed (mph) 0-100
- x_2 – weight (pounds) 10-1000
- x_3 – size (feet) 2-20

Problem for learning:

$$w_j \leftarrow w_j + \varepsilon x_j^i\left(y^i - g(w^T x^i)\right) - \frac{w_j}{\lambda}$$

Normalize: $r_1 = \frac{x_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}$ or $r_1 = \frac{x_1 - min_1}{max_1 - min_1}$

2

The benefits of extra dimensions



- **Finds existing complex separations between classes**

3

The risks of too-many dimensions



- **High dimensions with kernels over-fit the outlier data**
- Two dimensions ignore the outlier data

4

Training vs. testing

- **Training**: learn parameters from set of data in each class
- **Testing**: measure how often classifier correctly identifies new data

- More training reduces classifier error ε
  - More gradient ascent steps
  - More learned feature
- Too much training causes worse testing error – overfitting



5

Goal: High Performance, Few Parameters

- "Information criterion": performance/parameter trade-off
- Variables to consider:
  - **L** likelihood of train data after learning
  - **k** number of parameters (e.g., number of features)
  - **m** number of points of training data
- Popular information criteria:
  - Akaike information criterion **AIC**: ln(L) - k
  - Bayesian information criterion **BIC**: ln(L) - 0.5 k ln(m)

6

Decreasing parameters

- Force parameter values to 0
  - L1 regularization
  - Support Vector selection
  - Feature selection/removal
- Consolidate feature space
  - Component analysis

8

Feature removal

- Start with feature set: F={x_1, …, x_k}
- Find classifier performance with set F: perform(F)
- Loop
  - Find classifier performance for removing feature x_1, x_2, …, x_k: argmax_i perform(F-x_i)
  - Remove feature that causes least decrease in performance: F=F-x_i

AIC: ln(L) - k

BIC: ln(L) - 0.5 k ln(m)

Repeat, using AIC or BIC as termination criterion

9

AIC testing: ln(L)-k

Revised from class Oct 4

Features	k (num features)	L (likelihood)	AIC
F	40	0.1	-42.3
F-{x_3}	39	0.04	-42.2
F-{x_3,x_{24}}	38	0.02	-41.9
F-{x_3,x_{24},x_{32}}	37	0.01	-41.6
F-{x_3,x_{24},x_{32},x_{15}}	36	0.003	-41.8

Feature selection

AIC: ln(L) - k

BIC: ln(L) - 0.5 k ln(m)

- Find classifier performance for just set of 1 feature: argmax$_i$ perform({x_i})
- Add feature with highest performance: F={x_i}
- Loop
  - Find classifier performance for adding one new feature: argmax$_i$ perform(F+{x_i})
  - Add to F feature with highest performance increase: F=F+{x_i}

Repeat, using AIC or BIC as termination criterion

Capturing links between features

	Document1	Document2	Document3
Wolf	12	4	1
Lion	16	3	2
Monkey	5	11	4
Sky	7	3	14
Tree	2	8	5
Cloud	6	2	12
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

With large number of features, some features x_j and x_k act similarly

x_{wolf} & x_{lion} -> $u_{predator}$

x_{sky} & x_{cloud} -> $u_{atmosphere}$

Approximate $\boldsymbol{x}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N^1 \end{bmatrix}$

with $\boldsymbol{u}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{N'}^1 \end{bmatrix}$

Automatically learn summary features

Image features

Image as grid of n x m pixels

Find representative component features as pixel patterns



Cartoon face example:



$\approx 1 \times u^1 + 0 \times u^2 + 1 \times u^3 + 1 \times u^4 + 0 \times u^5$





Add relevant face components
1000-pixel image becomes
5 co-efficients

Estimate is fairly close to actual image

14

Component analysis

Each data point $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ in D can be reconstructed as sum of components $\boldsymbol{u}$:

- $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{i}} = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}^q$
- z_q^i is weight on q^th component to reconstruct data point $\mathbf{x}^i$

15

Evaluating components

Components learned in order of descriptive power

Compute reconstruction error for all data by using first r components:

$$error = \sum_i \left(\sum_j \left(\boldsymbol{x}_j^i - \sum_{q=1}^{r} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}_j^q \right)^2 \right)$$

16

Defining new feature axes



- Identify a common trend
  $\boldsymbol{u}^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.91 \\ 0.45 \end{bmatrix}$
- Map data onto new dimension $\boldsymbol{u}^1$

17

Defining new feature axes



Project $\mathbf{x}^1$ onto $\mathbf{u}^1$

$u^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.91 \\ 0.45 \end{bmatrix}$ $x^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.19 \\ 0.01 \end{bmatrix}$

For x^1: dim1: **-1.2**

dim2: **0**

dimU_1: $\mathbf{u}^{1T}\mathbf{x}^1$ = -1.19x.9+.01x.45 = **-1.06**

Terminology: z_q – coordinate on axis $\mathbf{u}_q$

Defining data points with new axes



$$x^1 = \overset{z_1}{-1} \times u^1 + \overset{z_2}{(-0.5)} \times u^2$$

$$x^2 = \mathbf{0.5} \times u^1 + (-0.02) \times u^2$$

Component analysis

Each data point $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ in D can be reconstructed as sum of components $\boldsymbol{u}$:

- $\boldsymbol{x}^i = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}^q$
- z_q^i is weight on q^{th} component to reconstruct data point $\mathbf{x}^i$

Component analysis: examples

$$\boldsymbol{x}^i = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}^q$$

"Eigenfaces" – learned from set of face images

$\mathbf{u}$: nine components



$\mathbf{x}^4$: data reconstructed

$z_1\mathbf{u}^1 + \ldots + z_9\mathbf{u}^9 \approx$

Types of component analysis

Capture links between features as “components”

- Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Independent component analysis (ICA)
- Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF)

Principal component analysis (PCA)

Describe every **x**^{i} with small set of components **u**$^{1:Q}$

Use same **u¹, … u**^{T} for all **x**^{i}

All components orthogonal:

$$(\boldsymbol{u}^i)^T\boldsymbol{u}^j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$



$$\boldsymbol{x^i} = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}^q$$

NOTE: In PCA $z_j^i = \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{x}^i$

Independent component analysis (ICA)

Describe every **x**^{i} with small set of components **u**$^{1:T}$

Can use **different u¹, … u**$^{\mathbf{Q}}$ for each **x**^{i}

No orthogonality constraint:

$$(\boldsymbol{u}^i)^T\boldsymbol{u}^j \neq 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$

$$\boldsymbol{x^i} = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i \boldsymbol{u}^q$$



END OF MATERIAL FOR MIDTERM

Idea of learning in PCA



1. D = {$\boldsymbol{x}^1$,…,$\boldsymbol{x}^n$} , data 0-center
2. Component index: q=1
3. Loop

- Find direction of highest variance: $\mathbf{u}^q$
  - Ensure $|\boldsymbol{u}^q| = 1$
- Remove $\mathbf{u}_q$ from data:
  $D = \{\boldsymbol{x}^1 - z_q^1\boldsymbol{u}^q, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}^n - z_q^n\boldsymbol{u}^q\}$

$(\boldsymbol{u}_i)^T\boldsymbol{u}_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$

Thus, we guarantee $z_j^i = \boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{x}^i$

29

Idea of learning ICA



1. D = {$\boldsymbol{x}^1$,…,$\boldsymbol{x}^n$} , data 0-center
2. Component index: q=1
3. Loop

- Find next most common group across data points
- Find component direct for group $\boldsymbol{u}^q$
  - Ensure $|\boldsymbol{u}^q| = 1$

We cannot guarantee $z_j^i = \boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{x}^i$

30

Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF)

Describe every $\mathbf{x}^i$ with small set of components $\mathbf{u}^{1:T}$

All components and weights non-negative
$\boldsymbol{u}^i \geq 0,\ z_q^i \geq 0 \quad \forall i, q$

$\boldsymbol{x}^i = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i\boldsymbol{u}^q$



31

Types of component analysis

$\boldsymbol{x}^i = \sum_{q=1}^{T} z_q^i\boldsymbol{u}_q$

Principal component analysis (PCA):

- Minimal components to describe all data
- All components orthogonal: $(\boldsymbol{u}_i)^T\boldsymbol{u}_j = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$

Independent component analysis (ICA):

- Minimize components to describe each data point x^i
- Can focus on different components for different x^i

Non-negative matrix factorization (NMF):

- All data $\boldsymbol{x}^i$ non-negative
- All components and weights non-negative $\boldsymbol{u}_j \geq 0,\ z_q^i \geq 0 \quad \forall i, q$

32

Comparing component analysis

PCA
- Represent each data point **x** with low number of components
- All components used to reconstruct each data point **x**

ICA / NMF
- Represent each data point **x** with low number of components
- Subset of components used to reconstruct each data point **x**