SECTION 4.2

Direct Proof and Counterexample II: Rational Numbers
Sums, differences, and products of integers are integers. But most quotients of integers are not integers.

Quotients of integers are, however, important; they are known as *rational numbers*.
Example 1 – *Determining Whether Numbers Are Rational or Irrational*

**Definition**

A real number \( r \) is **rational** if, and only if, it can be expressed as a quotient of two integers with a nonzero denominator. A real number that is not rational is **irrational**. More formally, if \( r \) is a real number, then

\[
r \text{ is rational} \iff \exists \text{ integers } a \text{ and } b \text{ such that } r = \frac{a}{b} \text{ and } b \neq 0.
\]

a. Is \( \frac{10}{3} \) a rational number?

b. Is \( -\frac{5}{39} \) a rational number?

c. Is 0.281 a rational number?

d. Is 7 a rational number?
Example 1 – *Determining Whether Numbers Are Rational or Irrational*

f. Is 2/0 a rational number?

**Answer:**
No, because division by zero is undefined.

**Reasoning:**
Division by zero is undefined in mathematics, so 2/0 is not a rational number.

g. Is 2/0 an irrational number?

**Answer:**
No, because division by zero is undefined.

**Reasoning:**
Division by zero is undefined in mathematics, so 2/0 is not a rational number.

h. Is 0.12121212 . . . a rational number (where the digits 12 are assumed to repeat forever)?

**Answer:**
Yes, it is a rational number.

**Reasoning:**
A number is rational if it can be expressed as a ratio of two integers. Since 0.12121212... = 12/100 = 3/25, it is a rational number.

i. If \(m\) and \(n\) are integers and neither \(m\) nor \(n\) is zero, is \((m + n)/mn\) a rational number?

**Answer:**
Yes, it is a rational number.

**Reasoning:**
Given that \(m\) and \(n\) are integers and neither is zero, then \((m + n)/mn\) simplifies to \(1/n\) if \(m + n\) and \(mn\) are not zero. Since \(m\) and \(n\) are integers, \(1/n\) is a rational number if \(n\) is not zero. For the case of \(n=0\), the expression is undefined, so we consider it valid for non-zero integers.
Example 1 – Solution cont’d

h. Yes. Let $x = 0.12121212\ldots$. Then

Thus

$100x = 12.12121212\ldots$

$100x - x = 12.12121212\ldots - 0.12121212\ldots = 12.$

But also

$100x - x = 99x$ \hspace{1cm} \text{by basic algebra}

Hence

$99x = 12,$

And so

$x = \frac{12}{99}.$

Therefore, $0.12121212\ldots = \frac{12}{99},$ which is a ratio of two nonzero integers and thus is a rational number.
Example 1 – Solution

Note that you can use an argument similar to this one to show that any repeating decimal is a rational number.

i. Yes, since \( m \) and \( n \) are integers, so are \( m + n \) and \( mn \) (because sums and products of integers are integers). Also \( mn \neq 0 \) by the zero product property.

One version of this property says the following:

Zero Product Property

If neither of two real numbers is zero, then their product is also not zero.
More on Generalizing from the Generic Particular
Method of generalizing from the generic particular is like a challenge process.

If you claim a property holds for all elements in a domain, then someone can challenge your claim by picking any element in the domain whatsoever and asking you to prove that that element satisfies the property.

To prove your claim, you must be able to meet all such challenges. That is, you must have a way to convince the challenger that the property is true for an arbitrarily chosen element in the domain.
For example, suppose “A” claims that every integer is a rational number. “B” challenges this claim by asking “A” to prove it for $n = 7$.

“A” observes that

$$7 = \frac{7}{1}$$

which is a quotient of integers and hence rational.

“B” accepts this explanation but challenges again with $n = -12$. “A” responds that

$$-12 = \frac{-12}{1}$$

which is a quotient of integers and hence rational.
Next “B” tries to trip up “A” by challenging with $n = 0$, but “A” answers that 

$$0 = \frac{0}{1}$$

which is a quotient of integers and hence rational.

As you can see, “A” is able to respond effectively to all “B”s challenges because “A” has a general procedure for putting integers into the form of rational numbers: “A” just divides whatever integer “B” gives by 1.

That is, no matter what integer $n$ “B” gives “A”, “A” writes 

$$n = \frac{n}{1}$$

which is a quotient of integers and hence rational.
This discussion proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.1**

Every integer is a rational number.
Prove that the sum of any two rational numbers is rational.

**Solution:**
Begin by mentally or explicitly rewriting the statement to be proved in the form “∀______, if ______ then ______.”

**Formal Restatement:** ∀ real numbers \( r \) and \( s \), if \( r \) and \( s \) are rational then \( r + s \) is rational.

Next ask yourself, “Where am I starting from?” or “What am I supposing?” The answer gives you the starting point, or first sentence, of the proof.
Starting Point: Suppose \( r \) and \( s \) are particular but arbitrarily chosen real numbers such that \( r \) and \( s \) are rational; or, more simply, Suppose \( r \) and \( s \) are rational numbers.

Then ask yourself, “What must I show to complete the proof?”

To Show: \( r + s \) is rational.

Finally ask, “How do I get from the starting point to the conclusion?” or “Why must \( r + s \) be rational if both \( r \) and \( s \) are rational?” The answer depends in an essential way on the definition of rational.
Example 2 – Solution

Rational numbers are quotients of integers, so to say that $r$ and $s$ are rational means that

\[ r = \frac{a}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{c}{d} \]

for some integers $a, b, c, \text{ and } d$ where $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$.

It follows by substitution that

\[ r + s = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}. \]
Example 2 – Solution

You need to show that \( r + s \) is rational, which means that \( r + s \) can be written as a single fraction or ratio of two integers with a nonzero denominator.

But the right-hand side of equation (4.2.1) in

\[
\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad}{bd} + \frac{bc}{bd}
\]

rewriting the fraction with a common denominator

\[
= \frac{ad + bc}{bd}
\]

adding fractions with a common denominator.
Is this fraction a ratio of integers? Yes. Because products and sums of integers are integers, \(ad + bc\) and \(bd\) are both integers.

Is the denominator \(bd \neq 0\)? Yes, by the zero product property (since \(b \neq 0\) and \(d \neq 0\)). Thus \(r + s\) is a rational number.

This discussion is summarized as follows:

**Theorem 4.2.2**

The sum of any two rational numbers is rational.
Example 2 – Solution

Proof:
Suppose \( r \) and \( s \) are rational numbers. [We must show that \( r + s \) is rational.]

Then, by definition of rational, \( r = \frac{a}{b} \) and \( s = \frac{c}{d} \) for some integers \( a, b, c, \) and \( d \) with \( b \neq 0 \) and \( d \neq 0 \).

Thus

\[
\frac{r + s}{bd} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd} \quad \text{by substitution}
\]

by basic algebra.
Example 2 – Solution

Let $p = ad + bc$ and $q = bd$. Then $p$ and $q$ are integers because products and sums of integers are integers and because $a$, $b$, $c$, and $d$ are all integers.

Also $q \neq 0$ by the zero product property.

Thus

$$r + s = \frac{p}{q}$$

where $p$ and $q$ are integers and $q \neq 0$.

Therefore, $r + s$ is rational by definition of a rational number. [This is what was to be shown.]
Deriving New Mathematics from Old
In the future, when we ask you to prove something directly from the definitions, we will mean that you should restrict yourself to this approach.

However, once a collection of statements has been proved directly from the definitions, another method of proof becomes possible.

The statements in the collection can be used to derive additional results.
Suppose that you have already proved:

1. The sum, product, and difference of any two even integers are even.
2. The sum and difference of any two odd integers are even.
3. The product of any two odd integers is odd.
4. The product of any even integer and any odd integer is even.
5. The sum of any odd integer and any even integer is odd.
6. The difference of any odd integer minus any even integer is odd.
7. The difference of any even integer minus any odd integer is odd.

Use the properties listed above to prove that if \( a \) is any even integer and \( b \) is any odd integer, then \( \frac{a^2 + b^2 + 1}{2} \) is an integer.
Example 3 – Solution

Suppose \( a \) is any even integer and \( b \) is any odd integer. By property 3, \( b^2 \) is odd, and by property 1, \( a^2 \) is even.

Then by property 5, \( a^2 + b^2 \) is odd, and because 1 is also odd, the sum \( (a^2 + b^2) + 1 = a^2 + b^2 + 1 \) is even by property 2. Hence, by definition of even, there exists an integer \( k \) such that \( a^2 + b^2 + 1 = 2k \).

Dividing both sides by 2 gives \( \frac{a^2 + b^2 + 1}{2} = k \), which is an integer.

Thus \( \frac{a^2 + b^2 + 1}{2} \) is an integer [as was to be shown].
A **corollary** is a statement whose truth can be immediately deduced from a theorem that has already been proved.
Example 4 – *The Double of a Rational Number*

Derive the following as a corollary of Theorem 4.2.2.

**Corollary 4.2.3**
The double of a rational number is rational.

**Solution:**
The double of a number is just its sum with itself.

But since the sum of any two rational numbers is rational (Theorem 4.2.2), the sum of a rational number with itself is rational.

Hence the double of a rational number is rational.
Example 4 – Solution cont’d

Here is a formal version of this argument:

**Proof:**
Suppose \( r \) is any rational number. Then \( 2r = r + r \) is a sum of two rational numbers.

So, by Theorem 4.2.2, \( 2r \) is rational.